arXiv:1703.03324v1 [math.AG] 9 Mar 2017

ON DEFORMATIONS OF NODAL HYPERSURFACES

ZHENJIAN WANG

Abstract. We extend the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for smooth hypersurfaces to nodal hypersurfaces.

1. Introduction

Deformations of smooth hypersurfaces provide examples of great interest and importance in the theory of variation of Hodge structures, especially because of the generic Torelli theorem, see [12]. In a recent thesis [14], Y. Zhao considers deformations of nodal surfaces in the 3-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^3$ and shows that the infinitesimal Torelli theorem still holds.

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \cdots, x_n] = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d$ be the graded ring of polynomials and let $f \in S_d$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d. Denote by $X_f : f = 0$ the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by f. Moreover, let

$$J(f) = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$$

be the graded ideal generated by the first derivatives of f, also called the Jacobian ideal of f. We consider the following map

(1)
$$\varphi : \quad (S/J(f))_d \to \mathrm{Hom}((S/J(f))_{d-n-1}, (S/J(f))_{2d-n-1}), \qquad [P] \mapsto ([Q] \mapsto [PQ]).$$

As a matter of fact, Y. Zhao [14] proves the infinitesimal Torelli theorem by showing that the map φ is injective when $n = 3$ and X_f is a **nodal** surface. This result can be extended to the higher dimensional cases.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $n \geq 3$ is an integer and $d \geq n + 1$. Let $f \in S$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d such that $X_f : f = 0$ is a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the map φ is injective.*

As shown in [10] and proved in [14], Chapter 3, $(S/J(f))_d$ parameterizes the equivalence classes of deformations of the pair $(\mathbb{P}^n, X_f)$. Alternatively, let $GL = GL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ be the general linear group of rank $n + 1$. Then GL acts on S_d by coordinate transformations and for any $f \in S_d$, the tangent space at f of the orbit $GL \cdot f$ is given by $J(f)_d$, see [1]. It follows that $(S/J(f))_d$ can be seen as the set of directions in S_d that are transversal to the orbit $GL \cdot f$ at f. In addition, any smooth analytic subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S_d$ can be seen as a family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S35, Secondary 14C30, 14D07, 32S25 .
Key words and phrases. Nodal hypersurfaces, Deformations, Torelli theorem.

$T_f\mathcal{U} \cap J(f)_d = \{0\}$, then we call $\mathcal{U}$ an **effective** deformation of f. From this point of view, $(S/J(f))_d$ is the maximal set of effective deformations of f.

Now let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $n(f)$ be the number of nodes in X_f. Then we have a moduli space, denoted by $\mathfrak{B}_f \subseteq S_d$, parameterizing all nodal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ having exactly $n(f)$ nodes. By the discussion following Corollary 3.8 in [2], we have that $\mathfrak{B}_f$ is a constructible subvariety of S_d and the topological type of $(\mathbb{P}^n, X_g)$ is locally trivial for $g \in \mathfrak{B}_f$. Moreover, for any g lying in the connected component of $\mathfrak{B}_f$ containing f, $(\mathbb{P}^n, X_g)$ is topologically equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^n, X_f)$.

Now assume $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_f$ is a connected **smooth** subvariety and $f \in \mathcal{U}$. For any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, X_g is homeomorphic to X_f by the local topological triviality of the pair $(\mathbb{P}^n, X_g)$. So there is a natural identification $H_0^{n-1}(X_g) \cong H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$, where $H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ is the primitive cohomology of X_g defined by $H_0^{n-1}(X_g) = \mathrm{Coker}(H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) \to H^{n-1}(X_g))$. In particular, $\dim H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ is constant for $g \in \mathcal{U}$.

Moreover, $H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ has a natural mixed Hodge structure, see [9]. It turns out that $\dim F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ and $\dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ are also constant for $g \in \mathcal{U}$ (in most cases), see Corollary 3.4 below. Thus, we have the following well-defined map

$$\mathcal{P} : \qquad \mathcal{U} \ni g \mapsto (F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g), F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)) \in \mathcal{F} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the corresponding flag manifold of subspaces of $H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$.

By relating the primitive cohomology with the graded pieces of the algebra $S/J(f)$ and applying Theorem 1.1, we prove the following, as a generalization of [14], Chapter 3.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $n \geq 3$ is odd or $n \geq 6$ is even. Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$ and let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_f$ be a smooth subvariety of $\mathfrak{B}_f$ which gives an effective deformation of X_f. Then the map $\mathcal{P}$ above is well-defined and the differential $d\mathcal{P}$ is injective at f.*

Thus, loosely speaking, the infinitesimal Torelli theorem also holds for nodal hypersurfaces.

Note that for smooth hypersurfaces, the **generic** Torelli theorem holds, see [12], and it remains an interesting question whether this is also the case for nodal hypersurfaces. Recall that in the proof the generic Torelli theorem for smooth hypersurfaces, the essential part is to show that a homogeneous polynomial can be reconstructed from its Jacobian ideal, which also holds for nodal hypersurfaces by the results in [13]; another key ingredient in the smooth case is the symmetriser lemma, which is still open for nodal hypersurfaces.

2. Syzygies of the Jacobian ideal

Let $K^\bullet(f)$ be the Koszul complex of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ with the natural grading $\deg(x_j) = 1$ and $\deg(dx_j) = 1$:

$$K^\bullet(f) : \quad 0 \to \Omega^0 \to \Omega^1 \to \cdots \to \Omega^{n+1} \to 0$$

where $\Omega^1 = \sum_{i=0}^n S dx_i$ and $\Omega^p = \bigwedge^p \Omega^1$, and the differentials are given by the wedge product with $df = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$.

The homogeneous component of the cohomology group $H^n(K^\bullet(f))_{n+r}$ describes the syzygies

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = 0$$

with $a_j \in S_r$ modulo the trivial syzygies generated by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right) = 0, \qquad i < j.$$

We may restate the main result in [5] and [6], Theorem 9 in the following form.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d > 2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $H^n(K^\bullet(f))_m = 0$ for any*

$$m \leq \frac{nd-1}{2}.$$

Let $f_s \in S_d$ be such that $X_{f_s} : f_s = 0$ is a smooth hypersurface. It is well-known that $\dim(S/J(f_s))_k$ depends only on n, d and k, see [1]. In [4], the following two notions are given:

$$ct(X_f) = \max\{q \quad : \quad \dim M(f)_k = \dim M(f_s)_k \text{ for all } k \leq q\}$$

and

$$mdr(X_f) = \min\{q \quad : \quad H^n(K^\bullet(f))_{q+n} \neq 0\}.$$

They have the following relation

$$ct(X_f) = mdr(X_f) + d - 2,$$

see loc. cit.. Applying Lemma 2.1, we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$ and $n \geq 3$, then*

$$\dim(S/J(f))_k = \dim(S/J(f_s))_k, \quad k = d-n-1, 2d-n-1.$$

In particular, $\dim(S/J(f))_k$ does not depend on the concrete equation of the polynomial f for $k = d-n-1, 2d-n-1$.

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** To prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $X_f : f = 0$ is a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$ and $n \geq 3$. Let $G \in S_t$ such that $t < 2d-n-1$ and $Gx_j \in J(f)$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, n$, then $G \in J(f)$.*

Proof. Assume

$$Gx_i = \sum_{k=0}^n H_{ik} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}, \quad i = 0, \cdots, n, \tag{3}$$

with $H_{ik} \in S_{t+2-d}, i, k = 0, \cdots, n$, then

$$0 = x_i(x_jG) - x_j(x_iG) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(x_iH_{jk} - x_jH_{ik})\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}.$$

Note that

$$t + 3 - d + n \leq (2d - n - 2) + 3 + n - d = d + 1 \leq \frac{nd-1}{2},$$

so by Lemma 2.1, we get $x_iH_{jk} - x_jH_{ik} \in J(f)$ for all $i, j, k = 0, \cdots, n$ while all these polynomials have degree $t + 3 - d < (2d - n - 1) + 3 - d = d - n + 2 \leq d - 1$, so they must all vanish identically; in particular,

$$x_iH_{jk} - x_jH_{ik} = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

thus, $x_i | H_{ik}$. By (3), it follows that $G \in J(f)$ as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Aiming at a contradiction, we assume that there exists $P \in S_d \setminus J(f)_d$ such that $\varphi([P]) = 0$.

Then there exists a $Q \in S_l, 0 \leq l < d-n-1$ such that $PQ \notin J(f)$ and l is chosen to be maximal. By the maximality of l, we have $(PQ)x_j \in J(f)$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, n$. Note that $PQ \in S_{l+d}$ and $l + d < 2d - n - 1$, hence by Lemma 2.4, $PQ \in J(f)$, contradiction.

3. Hodge theory for nodal hypersurfaces

Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n + 1$ and $n \geq 3$. The cohomology groups under consideration below all have $\mathbb{C}$ as coefficients unless otherwise explicitly pointed out.

By the Zariski Theorem of Lefschetz type (see [2]), we have

$$H^i(X_f) = H^i(\mathbb{P}^n), \qquad i < n - 1,$$

and

$$H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) \to H^{n-1}(X_f)$$

is injective. Let

$$H_0^{n-1}(X_f) = \mathrm{Coker}(H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) \to H^{n-1}(X_f)),$$

be the primitive cohomology of X_f. Then $H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ admits a mixed Hodge structure. Moreover, let $U_f = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus X_f$ be the complement of $X = X_f$, then $H^n(U_f)$ also admits a mixed Hodge structure and $H^n(U_f)$ and $H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ are closely related.

3.1. **Relations between $H^*(U_f)$ and $H^*(X_f)$.** Let X_f^* be the smooth locus of X_f and let

$$H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*) = \mathrm{Coker}(H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) \to H^{n-1}(X_f^*)).$$

Then $H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*)$ has a natural mixed Hodge structure. Moreover, as is shown in [2], Corollary 6.3.11, there is a natural residue isomorphism

$$\overline{R}_f : \quad H^n(U_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*) \tag{4}$$

which is also an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structures of type $(-1, -1)$.

Let $i : X_f^* \to X_f$ be the inclusion. We have the naturally induced homomorphisms in cohomology

$$i^* : H^{n-1}(X_f) \to H^{n-1}(X_f^*)$$

and

$$i_0^* : H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \to H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*).$$

Moreover, i^*, i_0^* are also morphisms of mixed Hodge structures.

When n is odd, the variety X_f is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology manifold, i.e., for any point $x \in X_f$, $H^i(X_f, X_f \setminus \{x\}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ if $i = 2n$ and 0 otherwise. Moreover, $H^n(U_f)$ has a pure Hodge structure of weight $n+1$. Indeed, we have a long exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures with respect to the pair (X_f, X_f^*):

$$0 = H^{n-1}(X_f, X_f^*) \to H^{n-1}(X_f) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{n-1}(X_f^*) \to H^n(X_f, X_f^*) = 0$$

See also the discussion concerning (6) below. It follows that i^* and i_0^* are both isomorphisms. Note that the weights of $H^{n-1}(X_f)$ are $\leq n-1$ since X_f is compact while the weights of $H^{n-1}(X_f^*)$ are $\geq n-1$ since X_f^* is smooth (see [9]), hence both $H^{n-1}(X_f^*)$ and $H^{n-1}(X_f)$ have pure Hodge structure of weight $n-1$ and it follows from the isomorphism (4) that $H^n(U_f)$ has a pure Hodge structure of weight $n+1$.

Let

$$R_f = (i_0^*)^{-1} \circ \overline{R}_f : \quad H^n(U_f) \to H_0^{n-1}(X_f).$$

Then R_f is an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structures of type $(-1,-1)$. It follows that we have isomorphisms

$$R_f : \quad F^p H^n(U_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{p-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$$

for all p. In particular, there are isomorphisms

$$R_f : \quad Gr_F^{p+1} H^n(U_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_F^p H_0^{n-1}(X_f), \quad p = n-1, n-2. \tag{5}$$

When n is even, X_f is no longer a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology manifold. However, there is still an explicit description of the relations between $H^n(U_f)$ and $H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$. Note that in this case $H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n) = 0$ and thus

$$H_0^{n-1}(X_f) = H^{n-1}(X_f), \quad H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*) = H^{n-1}(X_f^*)$$

and $i^* = i_0^*$.

Let $a_1, \cdots, a_q$ be the nodes in X_f and $B_i \ni a_i, i = 1, \cdots, q$ be a small ball in $\mathbb{P}^n$ around a_i such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Note that there exists an exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$\cdots \to H^{n-1}(X_f, X_f^*) \to H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \xrightarrow{i_0^*} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*) \to H^n(X_f, X_f^*) \to \cdots . \tag{6}$$

By the excision theorem and conic structure theorem (see [2], Theorem 1.5.1),

$$H^k(X_f, X_f^*) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^q H^k(B_i \cap X_f, B_i \cap X_f \setminus \{a_i\}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^q H^{k-1}(K_i), \quad k = n-1, n$$

where K_i is the link of X_f around a_i $(i = 1, \cdots, q)$. For each i, K_i has the homotopy type of the unit sphere bundle of the tangent bundle of S^{n-1}, hence

$$H^{k-1}(K_i) = \mathbb{C}, \quad k = n-1, n.$$

Note also $H^{k-1}(K_i) = H^k(B_i \cap X_f, B_i \cap X_f \setminus \{a_i\})$ admits a natural mixed Hodge structure, thus $H^{k-1}(K_i)$ and hence $H^k(X_f, X_f^*)$ must be pure of type (ρ_k, ρ_k) for some $\rho_k \in \mathbb{N}, k = n-1, n$.

By Proposition (C28) in [2], it follows that $2\rho_{n-1} \leq n-2$. Thus,

$$Gr_F^p H^{n-1}(X_f, X_f^*) = 0, \quad p = n-2, n-1.$$

Moreover, by the discussions above Example (6.3.18) in [2], $H^{n-1}(K_i)$ has weight n, namely, $2\rho_n = n$, and thus for $n \geq 6$

$$Gr_F^p H^n(X_f, X_f^*) = 0, \quad p = n-2, n-1.$$

Thus, it follows from (6) that we have an isomorphism

$$i_0^* : \quad Gr_F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) = F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*) = F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*)$$

for $n \geq 4$. Furthermore, we have isomorphisms

$$i_0^* : \quad Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*)$$

and

$$i_0^* : \quad F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*)$$

for $n \geq 6$; but for $n = 4$, we only have injections

$$i_0^* : \quad Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \hookrightarrow Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*).$$

and

$$i_0^* : \quad F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \hookrightarrow F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f^*).$$

Using the residue isomorphism (4), we denote

$$F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f) = \overline{R}_f^{-1}\left(i_0^*(F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f))\right) \subseteq F^{n-1} H^n(U_f)$$

for $n \geq 4$ (and n is even). Then clearly, $F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f) = F^{n-1} H^n(U_f)$ for $n \geq 6$.

We still denote by $\overline{R}_f$ its restriction to $F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f)$. Then

$$i_0^* : \quad F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \to \overline{R}_f(F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f))$$

is an isomorphism and we have an isomorphism

$$R_f = (i_0^*)^{-1} \circ \overline{R}_f : \quad F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f).$$

In conclusion, no matter whether n is even, we always have isomorphisms

$$R_f : \quad Gr_F^n H^n(U_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \tag{7}$$

and

$$R_f : \quad F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f)/F^n H^n(U_f) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f) \tag{8}$$

where $F^{n-1}(U_f, X_f)$ is a subspace of $F^{n-1} H^n(U_f)$ containing $F^n H^n(U_f)$.

3.2. **Cohomology of X_f.** Denote by

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i x_i dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

where $\widehat{(\ )}$ means that the term is omitted. As is shown in [2], Chapter 6, any cohomology in $F^p H^n(U_f)$ can be represented by a form

$$\omega(h) = \frac{h\Omega}{f^{n-p+1}}$$

with $h \in S_{(n-p+1)d-n-1}$. Hence, by (7), we see that any element in $Gr_F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ can be represented by

$$R_f([\frac{h_1\Omega}{f}])$$

with $h_1 \in S_{d-n-1}$ and similarly, by (8), any element in $Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ can be represented by

$$R_f([\frac{h_2\Omega}{f^2}])$$

with $h_2 \in S_{2d-n-1}$.

Such results coincide with by [7], Theorem 2.2, where the following formulae are given

$$Gr_F^n H^n(U_f) = (S/J(f))_{d-n-1}, \quad Gr_F^{n-1} H^n(U_f) = (S/J(f))_{2d-n-1},$$

for $n > 3$ and for $n = 3$,

$$Gr_F^n H^n(U_f) = (S/J(f))_{d-n-1}, \quad Gr_F^{n-1} H^n(U_f) = (I(f)/J(f))_{2d-n-1},$$

where $I(f)$ is the saturation of $J(f)$, which is also equal to the radical of $J(f)$ for a nodal hypersurface.

Putting all the discussions above in this section together, we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$. Then*

(i) *when $n \geq 3$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_f : \quad (S/J(f))_{d-n-1} \to Gr_F^{n-1} H_0^{n-1}(X_f), \quad \Lambda_f(h_1) = R_f([\frac{h_1\Omega}{f}]),$$

(ii) *when $n > 4$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_f : \quad (S/J(f))_{2d-n-1} \to Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f), \quad \Lambda_f(h_2) = R_f([\frac{h_2\Omega}{f^2}]),$$

(iii) *when $n = 3$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_f : \quad (I(f)/J(f))_{2d-n-1} \to Gr_F^{n-2} H_0^{n-1}(X_f), \quad \Lambda_f(h_2) = R_f([\frac{h_2\Omega}{f^2}]),$$

(iv) *when $n = 4$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_f : \quad S'/J(f)_{2d-n-1} \to Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), \quad \Lambda_f(h_2) = R_f([\frac{h_2\Omega}{f^2}]),$$

where $S' \subseteq S_{2d-n-1}$ is a vector subspace containing $J(f)_{2d-n-1}$.

In all the formulae above, R_f denotes the residue map.

As a corollary, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$. Then*

(i) *if $n \geq 3$, the dimension*

$$\dim F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$$

depends only on n, d.

(ii) *if $n \geq 3$ is odd or $n \geq 6$ is even, the dimension*

$$\dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$$

depends only on n, d and possibly the number of nodes in X_f.

Proof. Note that

$$\dim F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f) = \dim Gr_F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$$

and

$$\dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f) = \dim Gr_F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f) + \dim Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f).$$

If $n > 4$, the result follow from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 2.2, and the dimensions depend only on n, d. When $n = 3$, X_f is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology manifold and the Hodge numbers of X_f depend only on n, d and the number of nodes in X_f, see also [3]. □

4. Variations of mixed Hodge structures

Let $X_f : f = 0$ be a nodal hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d \geq n+1$. When n is odd, assume $n \geq 3$ while when n is even, assume $n \geq 6$.

4.1. **Topological triviality.** Recall that $\mathfrak{B}_f \subseteq S_d$ parameterizes all nodal hypersurfaces with the same number of nodes as X_f. Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_f$ be a contractible smooth subvariety containing f such that it gives an effective deformation for X_f. Set

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(x, g) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathcal{U} \quad : \quad x \in X_g \quad \}$$

which can be seen as the union of all nodal hypersurfaces parameterized by $\mathcal{U}$.

Then by the First Thom Isotropy Lemma (see [2]), there is a homeomorphism Φ satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\mathbb{P}^n, X_f) \times \mathcal{U} \\ & {\scriptstyle p_1} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle p_2} & \\ & \mathcal{U} & \end{array}$$

where p_1, p_2 are natural projections. In fact, Φ can be obtained by integrating some well-controlled stratified vector fields; for a proof, see [8]. From now on, we fix such a homeomorphism.

In particular, for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a canonical homeomorphism $\Phi_g : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$, which induces homeomorphisms $\Phi_{g,X} : X_f \to X_g$ and $\Phi_{g,U} : U_f \to U_g$ with $\Phi_f = \mathrm{Id}$.

Moreover, we have an induced isomorphism of groups

$$\Phi^*_{g,X} : \quad H_0^{n-1}(X_g) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0^{n-1}(X_f).$$

Hence $\dim H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ is constant for $g \in \mathcal{U}$.

In addition, by Corollary 3.4, under our assumption on n, the dimensions

$$\dim F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g), \quad \dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$$

are constant with respect to $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Via the identification $\Phi^*_{g,X} : H_0^{n-1}(X_g) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$, it follows that $(F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g), F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g))$ can be identified with $(\Phi^*_{g,X}F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g), \Phi^*_{g,X}F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g))$, which are two subspaces of $H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ of fixed dimension. Therefore, we have the well-defined map as in (2)

$$\mathcal{P} : \qquad \mathcal{U} \ni g \mapsto (F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g), F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the following flag manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \;=\; \{(E_1, E_2) \quad : \quad & E_1 \subseteq E_2 \text{ are vector subspaces of } H_0^{n-1}(X_g) \text{ and} \\ & \dim E_1 = \dim F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_g) \text{ and } \dim E_2 = \dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)\} \end{aligned}$$

When n is odd, all the Hodge numbers of X_g are constant for $g \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{P}$ is just two components of the period map in the theory of variation of Hodge structures, see [11].

4.2. **Infinitesimal deformation.** Now we consider the differential of $\mathcal{P}$. Note that a component of $d\mathcal{P}_f$ is the map

$$d\mathcal{P}_f : \quad T_f\mathcal{U} \to \mathrm{Hom}(F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), H_0^{n-1}(X_f)/F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f));$$

for the properties of tangent spaces of flag manifolds, we refer to [11] and for analogous considerations for smooth hypersurfaces, see [12]. Recall that Proposition 3.3 implies that any element in $F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ is of the form

$$\omega(h_1) = R_f([\frac{h_1\Omega}{f}]).$$

The following holds.

Lemma 4.3. *For $h \in T_f\mathcal{U} \subseteq S_d$, we have*

$$d\mathcal{P}_f(h)(\omega(h_1)) = d\mathcal{P}_f(h)\left(R_f([\frac{h_1\Omega}{f}])\right) = R_f([-\frac{hh_1\Omega}{f^2}]) \bmod F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$$

We postpone its proof to the end of this section, but we first derive Theorem 1.2 from it.

From Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 3.3, the image of $d\mathcal{P}_f$ is contained in

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}(F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)/F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f))\\ =&\mathrm{Hom}(Gr_F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{d\mathcal{P}_f} & \mathrm{Hom}(Gr_F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_2} \\ (S/J(f))_d & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{Hom}((S/J(f))_{d-n-1}, (S/J(f))_{2d-n-1}) \end{array} \tag{9}$$

where φ is given in (1). i_1 is the composite $T_f\mathcal{U} \subseteq S_d \to S_d/J(f)_d$, which is injective since $\mathcal{U}$ is an effective deformation. i_2 is defined as follows: for $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}(Gr_F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f), Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_f))$ and $h_1 \in (S/J(f))_{d-n-1}$,

$$i_2(\eta)(h_1) = -\Lambda_f^{-1}\Big(\eta\big(\Lambda_f(h_1)\big)\Big),$$

where Λ_f is the isomorphism given in Proposition 3.3. So i_2 is also injective.

By Theorem 1.1, it follows that $d\mathcal{P}_f$ is injective, hence Theorem 1.2 follows.

Remark 4.4. The result is probably also true for $n = 4$. We exclude this case because we do not know whether the dimension $\dim F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ or equivalently $\dim Gr_F^{n-2}H_0^{n-1}(X_g)$ is constant for $g \in \mathcal{U}$ in this case.

4.5. **Proof of Lemma 4.3.** The proof is almost the same as that in [12], Section 6 where variations of smooth hypersurfaces are considered. However, to avoid any possible confusion, we give the details here.

From the topological triviality of the family $X_g, g \in \mathcal{U}$, it follows that there exists a small contractible neighbourhood $\mathcal{N} \ni f$ in $\mathcal{U}$, such that for any $g \in \mathcal{N}$, X_g is a deformation retract of

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} := \bigcup_{g\in\mathcal{N}} X_g \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n.$$

Set

$$U_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus X_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Then $U_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a deformation retract of U_g for every $g \in \mathcal{N}$. Let for $g \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\tau_g : \quad U_{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow U_g$$

be the natural inclusion, then the induced homomorphism in cohomology

$$\tau_g^* : \quad H^n(U_g) \to H^n(U_{\mathcal{N}})$$

is an isomorphism.

The differential $d\mathcal{P}_f$ can be computed as follows: for any $h \in T_f\mathcal{U} \subseteq S_d$, choose a curve $g(t) : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $g(0) = f$ and $\frac{dg}{dt}(0) = h$. For any element in $F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f)$ of the form

$$\omega(h_1) = R_f([\frac{h_1\Omega}{f}]),$$

let

$$\omega_t(h_1) = R_{g(t)}([\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}])$$

give an element of $F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_{g(t)})$. Then

$$d\mathcal{P}_f(h)(\omega(h_1)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi^*_{g(t),X}(\omega_t(h_1)) \mod F^{n-1}H_0^{n-1}(X_f).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi^*_{g(t),X}(\omega_t(h_1)) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} R_f\left(\Phi^*_{g(t),U}([\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}])\right) \\ &= R_f\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi^*_{g(t),U}([\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}])\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi^*_{g,U}$ is the homomorphism induced by the map $\Phi_{g,U} : U_f \to U_g$. Note that $\Phi^*_{g(t),U} : H^n(U_{g(t)}) \to H^n(U_f)$ is equal to the composition

$$H^{n-1}(U_{g(t)}) \xrightarrow{\tau^*_{g(t)}} H^n(U_{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{(\tau^*_f)^{-1}} H^n(U_f).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi^*_{g(t),X}(\omega_t(h_1)) &= R_f\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (\tau^*_f)^{-1}\tau^*_{g(t)}[\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}]\right) \\ &= R_f\left((\tau^*_f)^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} [\tau^*_{g(t)}\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tau^*_{g(t)}$ acting on forms is a restriction map, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} [\tau^*_{g(t)}\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}] &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} [\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}\Big|_{U_{\mathcal{N}}}] \\ &= [\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\frac{h_1\Omega}{g(t)}\Big|_{U_{\mathcal{N}}}] \\ &= [-\frac{hh_1\Omega}{f^2}\Big|_{U_{\mathcal{N}}}].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi^*_{g(t),X}(\omega_t(h_1)) &= R_f\left((\tau^*_f)^{-1}[-\frac{hh_1\Omega}{f^2}\Big|_{U_{\mathcal{N}}}]\right) \\ &= R_f([-\frac{hh_1\Omega}{f^2}]).\end{aligned}$$

Now the proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete.

Remark 4.6. To prove Theorem 1.2, it is essential for us to obtain a diagram like (9). In fact, when Y. Zhao [14] proves the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for nodal surfaces, he uses such a diagram implicitly; however, he does not give any proofs. We believe that a detailed proof is indeed needed and this is a special reason why our discussions above always include the case $n = 3$.

References

[1] A. Dimca, *Topics on Real and Complex Singularities*, Vieweg Advanced Lectures in Math., Vieweg 1987. 1, 2

[2] A. Dimca, *Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1992. 1, 3, 3.1, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1

[3] A. Dimca, *Hodge Number of Hypersurfaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **66** (1996), 377–386 3.2

[4] A. Dimca, *Syzygies of Jacobian ideals and defects of linear systems*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome **56** (104), No. 2, 2013, pp. 191–203. 2

[5] A. Dimca, *On the syzygies and Hodge theory of nodal hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1310.5344v3. 2

[6] A. Dimca and M. Saito, *Generalization of theorems of Griffiths and Steenbrink to hypersurfaces with ordinary double points*, arXiv:1403.4563v4 2

[7] A. Dimca, M. Saito, L. Wotzlaw, *A generalization of Griffiths' theorem on rational integrals II*, Michigan Math. J. **58**, 2009, 603–625. 3.2

[8] J. Mather, *Notes on Topological Stability*, Harvard University, 1970. 4.1

[9] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge Structures*, Springer, Berlin, 2008. 1, 3.1

[10] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of Algebraic Schemes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **334**, Springer, 2006. 1

[11] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics **77**, Cambridge University Press 2003. 4.1, 4.2

[12] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics **77**, Cambridge University Press 2003. 1, 1, 4.2, 4.5

[13] Zhenjian Wang, *On homogeneous polynomials determined by their Jacobian ideal*, Manuscripta Mathematica **146**, 2015, pp 559–574. 1

[14] Yan Zhao, *Deformations of Nodal Surfaces*, PhD thesis, Leiden University, 2016. Available at https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/handle/1887/44549. 1, 1, 1, 1, 4.6

Univ. Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD, UMR 7351, 06100 Nice, France.
E-mail address: wzhj01@gmail.com